REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188

The public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing the burden, to the Department of Defense, Executive Service Directorate (0704-0188). Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.

person shall be subje	ct to any penalty for fai	illing to comply with a co	nse, Executive Service Directorate collection of information if it does not the ABOVE ORGANIZATION.	ot display a currently	valid OMB co	ould be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no ontrol number.	
			Poster			3. DATES COVERED (From - To) 11/12/2017	
4. TITLE AND S			1 03161		Fo. CON	ITPACT NUMBER	
		licator for Conco	mitant Ocular Injury		5a. CON	TRACT NUMBER	
					5b. GRANT NUMBER		
					E. DDOCDAM ELEMENT NUMBER		
					5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER		
6. AUTHOR(S)					5d. PRO	DJECT NUMBER	
Capt Santamari							
					5e. TASK NUMBER		
					5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER		
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 59th Clinical Research Division						8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
1100 Willford I	Hall Loop, Bldg	4430					
JBSA-Lackland, TX 78236-9908						17487	
210-292-7141							
			E(S) AND ADDRESS(ES))		10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
	esearch Division	=			!		
	Hall Loop, Bldg d, TX 78236-990				ļ	11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT	
210-292-7141	l, 1A /023U-770	0			NUMBER(S)		
		ITY STATEMENT stribution is unlir					
	NTARY NOTES						
Society of Milit	tary Ophthalmolo	ogists, New Orles	ans, LA, Nov 12th, 2017				
14. ABSTRACT							
Title: Orbital R	oof Fractures as	an Indicator for	Concomitant Ocular Injur	ry			
Authors: Joseph	h Santamaria MI), Aditya Mehta	MD, Donovan Reed MD,	, Brett Davies M	√D		
Abstract:							
						ith a spectrum of orbital and ocular injuries. This as compared to non-roof involving orbital wall fra	
15. SUBJECT T		-					
40 SECURITY	O' ACCIEICATIC	NAT.	17. LIMITATION OF	18. NUMBER	IAGO NAN	//E OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON	
			ABSTRACT	OF PAGES	Clarice Longoria		
συ		UU	19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include area code)				

Approved for public release. Distribution is unlimited.

Title: Orbital Roof Fractures as an Indicator for Concomitant Ocular Injury

Authors: Joseph Santamaria MD, Aditya Mehta MD, Donovan Reed MD, Brett Davies MD

Abstract:

Purpose: Orbital roof fractures are a significant cause of morbidity in trauma and are associated with a spectrum of orbital and ocular injuries. This study aims to characterize orbital roof fracture patterns and quantify the rate of acute intervention as compared to non-roof involving orbital wall fractures.

Methods: This study is a retrospective analysis of 340 orbital wall fractures diagnosed by CT imaging from August 2015 to October 2016. Orbital wall fractures were categorized as roof involving (N=50) and non-roof involving (N=290). Comparisons were made between these two groups to indicate a statistically significant difference in mechanism of injury, subjective symptoms, CT and exam findings, and final plan of care to include acute ophthalmologic intervention at the time of consultation.

Results: Assault (40.7%) was the most common cause of non-roof involving fractures while falls from height (20.0%) were associated with a higher rate of roof fractures. Roof involving orbital wall fractures were associated with a higher prevalence of corneal abrasions (16.3%), lid lacerations (23.4%), and traumatic optic neuropathy (10.4%). A reliable subjective exam on initial ophthalmic consultation was not achieved in a larger proportion of roof fracture patients (30%). Despite this, the rate of acute intervention in this group (34%) was almost double, including lateral canthotomy and cantholysis.

Conclusion: Concomitant ocular injury is common in roof involving orbital wall fractures, and may require more urgent ophthalmologic evaluation and acute intervention. As subjective patient data is often less readily available, a high index of suspicion and thorough investigation is warranted in caring for patients with roof involving orbital wall fractures.

Disclaimer: The views expressed are those of the authors/presenters and do not reflect the official views or policy of the Department of Defense or its Components.



Orbital Roof Fractures as an Indicator for Concomitant Ocular Injury

Joseph Santamaria MD, Aditya Mehta MD, Donovan Reed MD, Brett Davies MD

San Antonio Military Medical Center (SAMMC)



Introduction

Orbital roof fractures are a significant cause of morbidity in trauma and are associated with a spectrum of orbital and ocular injuries. The force required to fracture these orbital walls often require ophthalmologists' attention and possible intervention to preserve vision. As the force required to fracture the orbital roof is substantial, it also has a high likelihood of also damaging the eye. Unfortunately, this can also injure a patient enough to warrant intubation with an ICU admission. As a result, they are unable to provide any subjective exam, though often times still need urgent intervention by an ophthalmologist to save future vision.

This study aims to characterize orbital roof fracture patterns and quantify the rate of acute intervention as compared to non-roof involving orbital wall fractures.

Methods

Inclusion criteria: All patients diagnosed with an orbital wall fracture by CT presenting to SAMMC

Exclusion criteria: None

Analysis: This study is a retrospective analysis of 340 orbital wall fractures diagnosed by CT imaging from August 2015 to October 2016 at San Antonio Military Medical Center. Orbital wall fractures were categorized as roof involving (N=50) and non-roof involving (N=290). Comparisons were made between these two groups using Pearson's $\chi 2$ test or Fisher's exact test to indicate a statistically significant difference in mechanism of injury, subjective symptoms, CT and exam findings, and final plan of care to include acute ophthalmologic intervention at the time of consultation.

Results

Variable	Total (N=340)	Roof Involving (N=50)	Non-Roof Involving (N=290)	p Value
Age (mean±SD)	45.6±21.1	43.2±19.3	46.0±21.4	0.387
Gender, Males	258 (75.9%)	44 (88.0%)	214 (73.8%)	0.033
Mechanism of Injury				
Assault	130 (38.2%)	12 (24.0%)	118 (40.7%)	0.025
Ground Level Fall	75 (22.1%)	8 (16.0%)	67 (23.1%)	0.263
Fall From Height	26 (7.6%)	10 (20.0%)	16 (5.5%)	< 0.001
MVC/MCC	63 (18.5%)	14 (28.0%)	49 (16.9%)	0.062
Other	46 (13.5%)	6 (12,0%)	40 (13.8%)	0.732
Associated Injury	C. C. M. Married & Mark Hills Server 1991	and the control of the state of	Control of the second s	
Open Globe	5 (1.5%)	2 (4.0%)	3 (1.0%)	0.107
Entrapment (CT)	30 (8.8%)	2 (4.0%)	28 (9.7%)	0.192
Entrapment (Clinical)	9 (2.6%)	0,00.00	9 (3.1%)	0.367
Retrobulbar Hemorrhage	40 (11.8%)	9 (18.0%)	31 (10.7%)	0.138
Both Eye Involvement	17 (5.0%)	6 (12.0%)	11 (3.8%)	0.019
Signs and Symptoms	Sept Market (1)		การสงอสมหรับข่องสมคราม	
Visual Acuity Decrease	56/293 (19.1%)	6/35 (17.1%)	50/258 (19.4%)	0.752
Diplopia	36/293 (12.3%)	2/35 (5.7%)	34/258 (13.2%)	0.207
Motility Deficit	57/306 (18.6%)	8/36 (22,2%)	49/270 (18.1%)	0.555
Oculocardiac Reflex	7/303 (2.3%)	1/35 (2.9%)	6/268 (2.2%)	0.819
Hyphema	6/335 (1.8%)	1/48 (2.1%)	5/287 (1.7%)	0.869
APD	11/333 (3,3%)	5/48 (10.4%)	6/285 (2.1%)	0.003
Corneal Abrasion	23/337 (6.8%)	8/49 (16.3%)	15/288 (5.2%)	0.004
Lid Laceration	45/335 (13.4%)	11/47 (23.4%)	34/288 (11.8%)	0.031
No Subjective Exam	47 (13.8%)	15 (30.0%)	32 (11.0%)	< 0.001
Intervention (total)	71 (20.9%)	17 (34.0%)	54 (18.6%)	0.013
Canthotomy/Cantholysis	9 (2.6%)	6 (12.0%)	(%0.1) 3	< 0.001

Table 1: Characteristics of patients with orbital wall fractures

Assault (40.7%) was the most common cause of non-roof involving fractures (p=0.025) while falls from height (20.0%) were associated with a higher rate of roof fractures (p=<0.001). Roof involving orbital wall fractures were associated with a higher prevalence of corneal abrasions (16.3%, p=0.004), lid lacerations (23.4%, p=0.031), and traumatic optic neuropathy (10.4%, p=0.003). A reliable subjective exam on initial ophthalmic consultation was not achieved (e.g. due to patient GCS) in a larger proportion of roof fracture patients (30%, p<0.001). Despite this, the rate of acute intervention in this group (34%) was almost double, including lateral canthotomy and cantholysis (p<0.001).

The view(s) expressed herein are those of the author(s) and do not reflect the official policy or position of Brooke Army Medical Center, the U.S. Army Medical Department, the U.S. Army Office of the Surgeon General, the Department of the Air Force, the Department of the Army or the Department of Defense or the U.S. Government

Discussion

Concomitant ocular injury is common in roof involving orbital wall fractures, and may require more urgent ophthalmologic evaluation and acute intervention. As subjective patient data is often less readily available, a high index of suspicion and thorough investigation is warranted in caring for patients with roof involving orbital wall fractures.

References

- Shere JL, Boole JR, Holtel MR, et al. An analysis of 3599 midfacial and 1141 orbital blowout fractures among 4426 United States Army Soldiers, 1980-2000. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2004 Feb;130(2):164-70.
- Andrews BT, Jackson AS, Nazir N, et al. Orbit fractures: Identifying patient factors indicating high risk for ocular and periocular injury. Larynaoscope. 2016 Feb:126 Suppl 4:S5-11.
- 3. Cook T. Ocular and periocular injuries from orbital fractures. *J Am Coll Surg.* 2002 Dec;195(6):831-4.
- 4. Martello J, Vasconez H. Supraorbital Roof Fractures: A Formidable Entity with Which to Contend. Annals of Plastic Surgery: March 1997
- Gervasio KA, Weinstock BM, Wu AY. Prognostic Value of Ocular Trauma Scores in Patients With Combined Open Globe Injuries and Facial Fractures. Am J Ophthalmol. 2015 Nov;160(5):882-888
- Bullock JD, Warwar RE, Ballal DR, et al. Mechanisms of orbital floor fractures: a clinical, experimental, and theoretical study. Tr. Am. Ophth. Soc. Vol XCVII, 1999.
- Mellema PA, Dewan MA, Lee MS, et al. Incidence of ocular injury in visually asymptomatic orbital fractures. Ophthal Plast Reconstr Surg. 2009 Jul-Aug;25(4):306-8.
- 8. Chi MJ, Ku M, Shin KH, et al. An analysis of 733 surgically treated blowout fractures. Ophthalmologica. 2010;224(3):167-75.
- 9. Shin JW, Lim JS, Yoo G, et al. An analysis of pure blowout fractures and associated ocular symptoms. J Craniofac Surg. 2013 May;24(3):703.